Clinical diagnosis of osteoarthritis. Review

Abdulrahman Saleh Alghamdi, Ghadeer Abdullah Alotaibi, Khaled Mahmoud Ghabban, Khlood Mohammed Bosaeed, Abdullah Salah Alswat, Muath Khalid Abaalkhail, Ahmed Abdullah Jalal

Abstract:

Early identification of OA is crucial to improving clinical decision-making and advancing the understanding of disease progression and treatment options. This article will review the various modalities available for OA diagnosis and clinical features. A computerized search was performed using following databases (Embase, Medline, Web-of- Cochrane, CINAHL) on December, 2017. Searching evidence concerning with Clinical diagnosis of osteoarthritis, we consider only English language articles, with human subjects on our review. OA is a clinical diagnosis. It might be detected without recourse to laboratory or radiographic investigations in the presence of typical symptoms and signs in the at-risk age group. Usage-related pain, short-term morning/inactivity stiffness, and locomotor limitation are one of the most typical symptoms of OA. In patients with typical presentation at the target sites, clinical evaluation alone is sufficient to allow a medical diagnosis of OA. Patients with OA need to be assessed in a holistic manner, which should consist of a targeted examination for the associated comorbidities.

Introduction:

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a problem of synovial joints that stands for failed repair of joint damages that results from anxieties that might be initiated by an irregularity in any one of the synovial joint tissues.1 OA may be localized to 1 joint, to a couple of joints, or be generalised [1] It is the

commonest arthropathy, and presents with joint discomfort, locomotor restriction, and differing degrees of functional disability [2], [3].It has a marked variability of phenotypic expression. The age of start, pattern of joint involvement, and rate of development vary from one person to another and from site to site. For example, OA might be an asymptomatic incidental finding on clinical or radiographic examination, or be a progressive, unpleasant, and disabling disorder at different joints in the same individual. Hence there is an incomplete overlap between the disease OA (structural modifications visualized on imaging) and the disease OA (patients' reported symptoms) [1].This article describes the medical attributes of OA with a focus on symptoms and signs at the key target sites.

Early identification of OA is crucial to improving clinical decision-making and advancing the understanding of disease progression and treatment options. This article will review the various modalities available for OA diagnosis and clinical features.

Methodology:

A computerized search was performed using following databases (Embase, Medline, Web-of-Cochrane, CINAHL) on December, 2017. Searching evidence concerning with Clinical diagnosis of osteoarthritis, we consider only English language articles, with human subjects on our review. Furthermore, we reviewed the references list of each identified article for more evidence to be included in present review.

Discussion:

· CLINICAL FEATURES

Discomfort, stiffness, and locomotor limitation are the primary signs of OA (Table 1) [3].Various other symptoms consist of crepitus, joint deformity, or joint swelling (caused by bony renovation, excessive osteophytosis, or joint subluxation). These signs typically start in just 1 or a few joints in an individual of middle or older age. Discomfort worse with joint usage and relieved by rest (use or mechanical pain) is commonly one of the most troublesome sign. The beginning of pain in OA is not completely understood. Pain might occur from the nociceptive fibers and mechanoreceptors in the synovium, subchondral bone, periosteum, capsule, ligaments, or ligaments. Pain in big joint OA (eg, knee or hip) is likewise thought to arise from bone marrow lesions, and synovitis/ effusion by excitement of nociceptive fibers and intra-articular hypertension, respectively, [4], [5] and a similar mechanism could also operate in the little joints. Nevertheless, hyaline cartilage is aneural, and is not a resource of discomfort in OA. Whatever its source, both main and outer sensitization perpetuate and enhance discomfort in OA. Pain generally advances through 3 stages (Table 2) [6].Nonetheless, pain progression might be arrested at any stage, and not all patients go through 3 distinct stages. Temporal and seasonal variants in OA discomfort have been reported as for other arthropathies. Pain in OA is reported to be worst on getting up in the early morning, with an improvement in the next 2 hours [7].It after that worsens in the late afternoon/early evening to again decrease later on in the evening [7].Nevertheless, evening discomfort can be present in OA, which hinders rest and leads to fatigue, absence of wellness, and increased pain sensitivity. Such nonusage evening pain is believed to occur mainly from the subchondral bone. In some people, the discomfort has a burning (neuropathic) quality, is widespread around the joint, and relates to tenderness and

paresthesiae [6].Such features additionally suggest comorbid fibromyalgia, another common pain syndrome in older people.

Painful periarticular soft tissue lesions could coexist with huge joint OA8 (eg, pesanserine bursitis, better trochanter pain syndrome) and it could be difficult to recognize the reason for the discomfort. One solution to this trouble is to ask the patient to point to one of the most painful area and after that to draw up the area that feels unpleasant. Periarticular soft tissue lesions create local pain away from the joint line, whereas OA pain much more commonly is most extreme over the joint line except for proximal joints (hip, shoulder), which might have the optimum site of pain distal to the stemming joint (radiated pain). Stiffness is additionally common in OA. Stiffness could be considered a trouble or discomfort during movement caused by a perceived inflexibility of the joint. Stiffness is usually most noticeable very early in the early morning, however may also happen later on in the day, commonly after durations of inactivity. Early morning stiffness exists both in traditional inflammatory arthritis (eg, rheumatoid arthritis [RA], and in OA. It can be taken into consideration an inflammatory sign when prolonged and present for at least 30 mins prior to topmost renovation. The morning stiffness in OA is usually brief lived (generally a few mins, yet generally<30 minutes). Short-lived tightness (gelling) could additionally be induced by lack of exercise. In patients with OA, both morning and inactivity-related stiffness quickly improve and settle with joint use, whereas the joint pain subsequently worsens with continued usage. Locomotor limitation and the resulting functional disability rely on the site and severity of OA. For example, first carpometacarpal joint (CMCJ) OA could cause difficulty in gripping, whereas knee or hip OA might impair the ability to obtain up from a chair and walk. The resulting engagement restriction relies on the individual's daily tasks and occupational/recreational requirements.

Table 1. Principal manifestations of OA

Symptoms	
Joint pain	Usually affects 1 to few joints at a time Insidious onset: slow progression over months to years Variable intensity throughout the day and the week May be intermittent and relapsing Increased by joint use and impact Relieved by rest Night pain may occur in severe OA
Stiffness	Short-lived(<30min) early morning stiffness Short-lived inactivity-related stiffness (gelling)
Swelling	Some (eg, nodal OA) patients present with swelling and/or deformity
Age	>30
Constitutional symptoms (eg, weight loss, sweats, fever)	Absent
Signs	
Appearance	Swelling (usually bony+ fluid/soft tissue) Resting position (attitude) Deformity Muscle wasting (global: all muscles acting over the joint)
Feel	Absence of warmth Swelling: bony or effusion Effusion if present is usually small and cool Joint-line tenderness Periarticular tenderness (especially knee, hip)
Movement	Coarse crepitusb Reduced range of movement Weak local muscles

The major physical indications of OA are coarse crepitus, joint-line tenderness, bony swelling, deformity, and reduced range of motion. Crepitus is a coarse crunching sensation or noise caused by friction in between damaged articular cartilage and/or the bone. It may be a lot more prominent during active movement compared to during passive movement throughout physical examination. It is often present throughout the series of movement [9].Crepitus could be

exacerbated by stressing the joint surfaces (eg, patellofemoral joint [PFJ] crepitus is enhanced by using downward pressure on the patella with the examining hand during knee flexion) [10].Transmitted crepitus (really felt on the adjacent periarticular bone) suggests a fullthickness cartilage defect on the affected side [10].Tenderness around the joint is common in OA. Joint-line tenderness suggests an articular disorder, whereas tenderness far from the joint line recommends a periarticular soft tissue problem. Both joint-line and periarticular tenderness could exist all at once because of a high frequency of periarticular soft tissue disorders near joints with OA. Minimized variety of activity (equal for both active and easy movements) generally results from minimal osteophytosis and capsular thickening, however synovial hyperplasia and effusion additionally add. Dealt with flexion defects (the inability to fully expand the joint) happens at the knees, hips, or elbows in innovative severe OA. Bony swelling, which could be evident in both tiny (eg, IPJ, very first metatarsophalangeal) and large (eg, knee) joint OA, happens due to a mix of bony makeover, minimal osteophytosis, and joint subluxation. Defect and instability are indications of significant joint damages. Muscle wasting suggests advanced OA.

Table 2.Stages of pain in OA

Stage 1 (Early)	Predictable sharp pain, usually brought on by a mechanical insult that eventually limits high-impact activities. There may only be a minimal effect on function.
Stage 2 (Mild-moderate)	Pain becomes a more regular feature, and begins to affect daily activities. There may be unpredictable episodes of stiffness.
Stage 3 (Advanced)	Constant dull/aching pain, punctuated by short episodes of often unpredictable intense, exhausting pain that results in severe functional limitations.

· HOLISTIC ASSESSMENT

Patients with OA should be evaluated in a targeted manner for anxiety, sleep deprival, hyperalgesia, central sensitization, and catastrophization [11], [12], [13], [14].Each of these has the potential to enhance the pain intensity. An attempt should similarly be made to evaluate the visibility of joint pain at various other websites as it increases discomfort extent at the index joint [15].Mobility analysis and neuromuscular assessment ought to be done for patients with thought hip or knee OA since these both associate with muscle weak point, damaged joint setting feeling, and falls [16].The threat of falls might be further enhanced by postural hypotension, aesthetic or vestibular disability, and polypharmacy, which prevail in the elderly. Fibromyalgia is another common comorbidity in the elderly and should be considered and looked for (by examination for widespread hyperalgesic tender sites) in anyone presenting with musculoskeletal discomfort, specifically if they report nonrestorative or nonrefreshing sleep. Adverse danger aspects need to be looked for and thought about in the management strategy. In addition, disease perceptions pertaining to joint discomfort and OA ought to be explored and gone over with the patient because these might affect therapy adherence and result [17].

· ROLE OF INVESTIGATIONS

OA is a clinical medical diagnosis. It might be identified inevitable to laboratory or radiographic examinations in the existence of regular symptoms and signs in the at-risk age group [18], [19].Peripheral joint OA may be diagnosed confidently on clinical grounds alone if there is:45 years

- Persistent usage-related joint pain in 1 or a few joints
- Age
- Only brief morning stiffness (<30 minutes)[2]

Other functions listed in Table 1 include in the diagnostic assurance [2].This technique to a clinical medical diagnosis of OA is sustained by the bad connection in between radiographically analyzed structural adjustments and symptoms in OA [20] The American College of Rheumatology (ACR) clinical classification standards for knee, hip, and hand OA have a high level of sensitivity, and at least a moderate to high uniqueness for differentiating OA from various other rheumatic problems in a hospital setup [21], [22].However, the ACR standards are not diagnostic, and failing to meet the category requirements does not exclude OA. They likewise have a reduced sensitivity and specificity for categorizing mild-moderate OA in the community setup [23].However, proper imaging and laboratory assessments should be executed:In younger individuals (ie,<45 years in age) in the absence of preceding major joint trauma,

- If symptoms and signs are atypical; for example, not usual target sites for OA, symptoms and signs of significant joint inflammation, marked rest and/or night pain, rapidly progressive pain,
- If there is weight loss or constitutional upset,
- If there is true locking at the knee, which suggests additional mechanical derangement.

Inflammatory markers (C-reactive protein, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, plasma viscosity) are typical or only minimally raised in OA, and may be useful in leaving out various other medical diagnoses. Radiographic evaluation might be utilized to sustain a clinical medical diagnosis of OA. However, patients with a clinically robust medical diagnosis of OA might have normal radiographs, and vice versa. Hence, radiographic exam needs to not be used to establish a medical diagnosis of OA on its own, and neither must a typical plain radiograph be utilized to refute a clinical medical diagnosis of OA; 86% of middle-aged community-dwelling citizens (indicate age 45 years) with knee discomfort for more than 3 months develop radiographic knee

OA over the following 12 years, suggesting that knee discomfort might be the first indication of OA [24]. However, such patients should be analyzed carefully to exclude any other root cause of joint discomfort, such as periarticular soft tissue lesions, before arriving at a medical diagnosis of OA and much more delicate evaluation of the joint (eg, ultrasound or magnetic vibration imaging) could be required. However, radiographic assessment could have a duty in defining the prognosis of patients with OA. In a possible research of greater than 1507 patients with knee OA, those with extra serious joint space constricting at baseline proceeded extra rapidly to finish joint space loss in time than those without any joint area narrowing at baseline [25].Global OA severity had a similar yet smaller duty [25].In summary, OA may be diagnosed on clinical grounds alone in the at-risk populace, with radiographs being made use of more for prognostic compared to diagnostic functions.

Synovial liquid evaluation is not routinely needed to support a diagnosis of OA. Nevertheless, joint aspiration and synovial fluid analysis are indicated if there is a suspicion of coexistent crystal deposition. Both monosodium urate and calcium pyrophosphate (CPP) crystal deposition (CPPD) relate to OA and might trigger acute synovitis or even more chronic inflammation in OA joints. Community-based studies suggest that coexistent self-reported gout and OA of the knee and hip occur in 1.1% and 0.8% of patients older than 25 years, respectively, [26] whereas coexistent knee chondrocalcinosis (a marker of CPPD) and knee OA happen in 2.4% of patients older than 40 years [27].Fundamental calcium phosphate (BCP) crystal deposition is additionally common in OA however needs sophisticated methods (eg, scanning electron microscopy) for accurate recognition, and its existence is not looked for consistently.

Conclusion:

OA is a clinical diagnosis. It might be detected without recourse to laboratory or radiographic investigations in the presence of typical symptoms and signs in the at-risk age group. Usage-related pain, short-term morning/inactivity stiffness, and locomotor limitation are one of the most typical symptoms of OA. In patients with typical presentation at the target sites, clinical evaluation alone is sufficient to allow a medical diagnosis of OA. Patients with OA need to be assessed in a holistic manner, which should consist of a targeted examination for the associated comorbidities.

Reference:

1. Lane NE, Brandt K, Hawker G, et al. OARSI-FDA initiative: defining the disease state of osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2011;19(5):478–82.
2. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Osteoarthritis: the care and management of osteoarthritis in adults. [CG59]. London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; 2008.
3. Abhishek A, Doherty M. Disease diagnosis and clinical presentation. In: Henrotin Y, Hunter DJ, Kawaguchi H, editors. OARSI Online Primer. OARSI; 2011.
4. Yusuf E, Kortekaas MC, Watt I, et al. Do knee abnormalities visualised on MRI explain knee pain in knee osteoarthritis? A systematic review. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70(1):60–7.
5. Goddard NJ, Gosling PT. Intra-articular fluid pressure and pain in osteoarthritis of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1988;70(1):52–5.
6. Hawker GA, Stewart L, French MR, et al. Understanding the pain experience in hip and knee osteoarthritis–an OARSI/OMERACT initiative. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2008;16(4):415–22.
7. Allen KD, Coffman CJ, Golightly YM, et al. Daily pain variations among patients with hand, hip, and knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2009;17(10): 1275–82.
8. Hill CL, Gale DR, Chaisson CE, et al. Periarticular lesions detected on magnetic resonance imaging: prevalence in knees with and without symptoms. Arthritis Rheum 2003;48(10):2836–44.
9. Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, et al. Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee of the American Rheumatism Association. Arthritis Rheum 1986;29(8):1039–49. 62 Abhishek & Doherty
10. Ike R, O'Rourke KS. Compartment-directed physical examination of the knee can predict articular cartilage abnormalities disclosed by needle arthroscopy. Arthritis Rheum 1995;38(7):917–25.

11. Sale JE, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and treatment, and depression among older adults with osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol 2008;35(2):335–42.
12. Abad VC, Sarinas PS, Guilleminault C. Sleep and rheumatologic disorders. Sleep Med Rev 2008;12(3):211–28.
13. Imamura M, Imamura ST, Kaziyama HH, et al. Impact of nervous system hyperalgesia on pain, disability, and quality of life in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a controlled analysis. Arthritis Rheum 2008;59(10):1424–31.
14. Edwards RR, Bingham CO 3rd, Bathon J, et al. Catastrophizing and pain in arthritis, fibromyalgia, and other rheumatic diseases. Arthritis Rheum 2006; 55(2):325–32.
15. Suri P, Morgenroth DC, Kwoh CK, et al. Low back pain and other musculoskeletal pain comorbidities in individuals with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee: data from the osteoarthritis initiative. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2010;62(12): 1715–23.
16. Hurley MV, Scott DL, Rees J, et al. Sensorimotor changes and functional performance in patients with knee osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 1997;56(11):641–8.
17. Petrie KJ, Jago LA, Devcich DA. The role of illness perceptions in patients with medical conditions. Curr Opin Psychiatry 2007;20(2):163–7.
18. Zhang W, Doherty M, Peat G, et al. EULAR evidence-based recommendations for the diagnosis of knee osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69(3):483–9.
19. Zhang W, Doherty M, Leeb BF, et al. EULAR evidence-based recommendations for the diagnosis of hand osteoarthritis: report of a task force of ESCISIT. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68(1):8–17.
20. Bedson J, Croft PR. The discordance between clinical and radiographic knee osteoarthritis: a systematic search and summary of the literature. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2008;9:116.
21. Altman R, Alarcon G, Appelrouth D, et al. The American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis of the hip. Arthritis Rheum 1991;34(5):505–14.
22. Altman R, Alarcon G, Appelrouth D, et al. The American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis of the hand. Arthritis Rheum 1990;33(11):1601–10.
23. Peat G, Thomas E, Duncan R, et al. Clinical classification criteria for knee osteoarthritis: performance in the general population and primary care. Ann Rheum Dis 2006;65(10):1363–7.
24. Thorstensson CA, Andersson ML, Jonsson H, et al. Natural course of knee osteoarthritis in middle-aged subjects with knee pain: 12-year follow-up using clinical and radiographic criteria. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68(12):1890–3.
25. Wolfe F, Lane NE. The longterm outcome of osteoarthritis: rates and predictors of joint space narrowing in symptomatic patients with knee osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol 2002;29(1):139–46.
26. Picavet HS, Hazes JM. Prevalence of self reported musculoskeletal diseases is high. Ann Rheum Dis 2003;62(7):644–50.

27. Zhang W, Neame R, Doherty S, et al. Relative risk of knee chondrocalcinosis in siblings of index cases with pyrophosphate arthropathy. Ann Rheum Dis 2004; 63(8):969–73.